## EXHIBIT 7

	OC3AAut1		
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
2	X		
3	AUTHORS GUILD, et al.,		
4	Plaintiffs,		
5	V.	23 Civ. 8292 (SHS) (OTW)	
6	OPENAI, INC., et al.,	Conference	
7	Defendants.	Contelence	
8			
9	x	New York, N.Y.	
10		December 3, 2024 9:47 a.m.	
11	Before:		
12	HON. ONA T. WANG,		
13		U.S. Magistrate Judge	
14	APPEARANCES		
15	SUSMAN GODFREY LLP Interim Class Counsel for Authors	Guild and Alter Class	
16	Actions BY: ROHIT NATH		
17	CHARLOTTE LEPIC JORDAN CONNORS ALEJANDRA SALINAS		
18	ALLUANDRA SALINAS AMBER MCGEE J. CRAIG SMYSER		
19			
20	SUSMAN GODFREY LLP Attorneys for The New York Times		
21	BY: KATHERINE PEASLEE ZACH SAVAGE		
22	ALEXANDER P. FRAWLEY		
23	ROTHWELL FIGG  Attorneys for New York Times and 1	Daily News	
24	BY: JENNIFER MAISEL KRISTEN LOGAN		
25			

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

provide that weren't at issue. Right? And is it from those documents that you're seeing that these people are involved? MS. SALOMON: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. But they weren't custodians --I mean, I quess the argument cuts both ways because if you got the documents that referenced these people and suggested that these people would have information, and you got them from -not these people as custodians, then that shifts in one direction toward maybe they don't have unique documents.

MS. SALOMON: Sure, your Honor.

THE COURT: At the same time.

MS. SALOMON: I take your point. I think that at this stage, the best thing to do would be exactly what OpenAI has had to do vis-a-vis its eight -- or maybe that number has since grown -- custodians is provide the hit counts as across our current search terms. And if it reflects duplicativeness, that's one thing, but we just don't have that information yet.

THE COURT: Okay. So has New York Times provided the hit counts? Do you have the hit counts for these four proposed custodians?

MS. PEASLEE: Sure. Katherine Peaslee from Susman Godfrey for The New York Times. We have not provided hit counts from these custodians, your Honor, because in order to provide the hit counts, we have to first collect those documents, which for The New York Times is actually a fairly

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

OC3AAut1

slow and cumbersome process. And because we don't believe that these custodians have any non-duplicative documents and we've not heard any other reasons from OpenAI for us to think otherwise. We have not taken the steps of collecting them. are preserving their documents. But we just don't see a basis for collecting documents from custodians who will be duplicative.

Exactly to your point, your Honor, the reason they know about these custodians is because they appear on documents that have already been produced. And we've laid out in the response the reasons why custodians we have agreed to, including ones we've more recently added, are better sources for these documents. Certainly equally good sources for the documents they're seeking anyway.

I will say particularly with respect to Sam Dolnick, OpenAI has said they need his documents because he has a broader focus on the impact of GenAI on the Newsroom. Putting aside the fact that we disagree he would have non-duplicative documents on that point, that's also an area of discovery that is not at issue in this case, per your Honor's order on the So we think that knocks that one out. 22nd.

THE COURT: I mean, to be fair, that motion was -that motion was filed before I issued ECF 344. So I quess what I'm trying to understand is in light of what I said in ECF 344, is there a narrower scope? And what else do you have that

THE COURT: Okay. So maybe my question is for

Ms. Peaslee because my sort of ultimate thing to go to is how long is it going to take to run, you know, collect and run and

MS. PEASLEE: Sure, your Honor.

provide hit counts of non-duplicative documents?

So The Times could do that in a couple of weeks I believe. But what I will say is that there are other custodians we have agreed to add. And that's relevant because in order for us to collect documents from these individuals and run search terms, we will have to pause the process of collecting documents from the ones we have agreed to. There's one person internal at The Times who does the collection process and gets those to us. And so it's just going to slow down the discovery that we have agreed to and that the parties are cooperating on.

So that's a factor to take into consideration. And, again, we just don't think that it's warranted in this case because there's nothing that OpenAI has pointed to suggest these additional custodians are necessary.

MS. SALOMON: Your Honor.

THE COURT: What are the other custodians you've agreed to add? How many are they?

MS. PEASLEE: I believe there are ten recent custodians, and I can point to the ones that we think are relevant to the ones they've asked for. For instance, for